

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

				<u> </u>
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,973	12/27/2001	Takashi Hattori	T&A-109	1785
7590 10/31/2003			EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. Suite 370			ROSASCO, STEPHEN D	
1800 Diagonal Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA		•	1756	
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>u</i>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/026,973	HATTORI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The SAAU INO DATE of this communication and	Stephen Rosasco	1756					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D	<u>lecember 2001</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims  A) \( \sum_{\text{claim}} \) \( \text{claim} \)							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 December 2002</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	<del></del>						



Application/Control Number: 10/026,973

Art Unit: 1756

## **Detailed Action**

In response to the Amendment A of 9/3/03, the examiner withdraws all of the prior office action rejections and includes the rejection of claims 1-14 over Hatakeyama et al.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticiapted by Hatakeyama et al. (6,007,969).

The claimed invention is directed to a mask and a method of making a mask comprising a shade pattern containing at least nanoparticles and a binder, such as carbon in an organic film such as a photoresist film. The applicant discusses the limitations of the prior art in that if a shade pattern of a photomask is constituted of a resist it is impossible to obtain a sufficient shading characteristic against rays having a wavelength larger than 230 nm and therefore to completely function as a shading material.

Hatakeyama et al. teach a method of ultra-fine fabrication of a surface of a target object comprising a semiconductor material composed of a group III element and a group V element of the periodic table, said method comprising: dispersing on said surface a plurality of micro-particles such that said micro-particles form shields over first portions of said surface while remaining second portions of said surface remain unshielded; and

radiating an energy beam toward said surface in a direction substantially perpendicular thereto, wherein said energy beam comprises a beam selected from the



Art Unit: 1756

group consisting of a fast atomic beam, an ion beam, an electron beam, a radiation beam, an atomic beam and a molecular beamsuch that said energy beam etches said second portions thereof while said micro-particles shield said first portions thereof, thereby forming a fabricated target object including a base having fine structures protruding therefrom.

And wherein said dispersing comprises applying to said surface a solution containing a dispersion of said particles and a solvent.

And wherein said micro-particles have a range of particle size of 0.1-10 nm and are selected from the group consisting of ferrite particles, zinc particles, cobalt particles and diamond particles.

And wherein said micro-particles have a range of particle size of 100 nm-10 mum, and are selected from the group consisting of aluminum particles, graphite particles, gold particles and silver particles.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Rosasco whose telephone number is (703) 308-4402.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. Fax (703) 872-9310 Before Finals; 872-9311 After Finals.

S. Rosasco Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

S.Rosasco 10/30/03